



2 JAMES KEE 1 DEPOSITION OF JAMES KEE, 2 taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by and before Sheila A. Rivers, a 3 4 Registered Professional Reporter and a Notary 5 Public in and for the Commonwealth of 6 Pennsylvania, at the offices of Reed Smith, LLP, 7 435 Sixth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219, 8 on Thursday, September 22, 2005, commencing at 9 9:00 o'clock a.m. 10 11 12 COUNSEL PRESENT: 13 14 For the Plaintiff: Kurt F. Fernsler, Esquire 15 Reed Smith, LLP 435 Sixth Avenue 16 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 For the Defendant: 17 Michael D. Glass, Esquire Polito & Smock, P.C. Suite 400, Four Gateway Center 18 19 444 Liberty Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-1207 20 Also Present: 21 David Meuschke 22 23 24 25

> WORDZ R US (412) 655-1553

JAMES KEE

19

Clark over that 23-year period? I'm just trying to get an idea of what your experience is in the

3 | industry.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Oh, everything from system project manager, project manager, senior project manager, project exec. I grew in that organization very quickly. I had a two-year hiatus amongst that where I worked for another company as construction executive.
 - Q. What company was that?
- 11 A. Gilbane.
- Q. Now, HBE, I don't know a lot about HBE

 other than what I can read on their web site.

 What does HBE do?
 - A. HBE is a design builder of hospital and financial facilities. We're fully integrated, all our design is done in-house, and our core products are just those, we do really nothing else.
 - Q. So, I assume you have a relatively large design professional staff.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Do you know how many design professionals you have?
 - A. Oh, I'd say there's probably 200, 250,

WORDZ R US (412) 655-1553

JAMES KEE

_ _ _ _

- somewhere in that range. I'm not positive, but it's in that order of magnitude.
- Q. How big is HBE in terms of revenues, do you know?
- A. Yes. Last year, we put in place, our revenue was 143 million.
- Q. Now, on the project, the hospital and behavioral health facility, did HBE occupy its normal role, i.e., did it perform designing?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. It did all the design?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Were there any design professionals involved in the project on site or approving payments, things of that nature, that were not HBE folks? Do you know?
- A. No. Our relationship was with the client, and the communication on payment, the requisitions were directly with the client.
- Q. I'm not sure I understand your answer. Let me ask --
- A. There was no construction manager that was involved, if that's where you're going with that. It was directly with UPMC.
 - Q. Well, I guess my question is, there were

JAMES KEE

_ _ _ _

- design professionals, obviously, involved in a job of this magnitude.
 - A. Um-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

- Q. And the design professionals involved were employees of HBE --
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. -- correct?

You're not aware of any design professionals involved in the project who were not employees of HBE; is that right?

- A. No, I'm not.
- Q. We'll be talking a little bit here about the subcontracts between Burchick Construction and HBE for the project, and of course, there's a separate written contract for each of the main hospital buildings, behavioral health buildings. I'm going to show you copies of some of them just so we're going to be talking about them and we can agree what we're talking about.

MR. FERNSLER: Sheila, if you could mark this one for me.

(Kee Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)

24 BY MR. FERNSLER:

Q. And I've handed the witness a document

JAMES KEE

_ _ _ _

that's now been marked as Exhibit 2, and it bears Bates Nos. D-53 through D-85.

Mr. Kee, take a moment to look at this, if you would.

A. (Reviewing document.)

- Q. I wanted to ask you a couple things. First of all, this package of documents was produced to me in this order from HBE. And the contract itself, the subcontract itself, between Burchick and HBE, I believe, is found between pages D-53 and D-61. Does that sound right?
 - A. Appears to be correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, attached, pages following D-61, are a number of other documents that are not necessarily part of the contract, but required by the contract, a copy of a performance bond and some other information that I understand were maintained by HBE in its project files.

Before coming here today, were you familiar with the subcontract on the main hospital building between HBE and Burchick?

- A. I have reviewed it, I have some familiarity with it.
- Q. Does this appear to be that contract?
 - A. Yes, it does.

JAMES KEE

- - - -

- Q. Now, the contract on the first page also makes reference to some drawings and specifications. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Now, just for the sake of completeness here, I've got what I believe to be those specifications.

MR. FERNSLER: We're going to mark these as Exhibit 3.

10 (Kee Deposition Exhibit No. 3

11 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FERNSLER:

- Q. Take a minute to review Exhibit 3, if you would, Mr. Kee. Exhibit 3 is entitled, UPMC

 Northwest Cranberry Township, Venango County,

 Pennsylvania, Concrete And Foundations Package.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Do these appear to be the specifications as referenced in the subcontract, Exhibit 2?
 - A. Appear to have similarity to it, yes.
- Q. Do you know if they're just similar or if these are, in fact, the specifications?
- A. Without doing a page per page cross-reference, I couldn't ascertain that.

MR. FERNSLER: We'll get some of

JAMES KEE

- - - -

this housekeeping out of the way. I'm going to also give you now the subcontract between HBE and Burchick on the behavioral health facility.

(Kee Deposition Exhibit No. 4

was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FERNSLER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And the witness has been handed what's been marked as Exhibit 4, which is the subcontract between HBE and Burchick, dated August 16, 2002. The project is listed as UPMC Northwest Behavioral Health Facility, Oil City, PA.
- Mr. Kee, does that appear to be a copy of the subcontract between Burchick and HBE for the behavioral health facility?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. I want to just understand -- I already asked most of my questions on this, I want to just understand the hierarchy within HBE specific to this project.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. You were the VP of operations, eastern division, between July of 2003 and, I assume, when the project was completed.
 - A. No. I had actually moved into the role

JAMES KEE

involved with Mr. Alderman and his staff to prepare those payment applications?

- A. My understanding is that that included John Williams, who was the project manager for them, and George Ehringir, who is the senior project manager for them.
- Q. What was Mr. Gemme's involvement, if any, in preparing the pay aps from HBE to UPMC?
 - A. I would say his involvement would be more peripheral.
 - Q. Well, is it correct to say, then, if Mr. Alderman and his staff worked with John Williams, George Ehringir and their staff, they put together a payment ap that they thought was correct and it was subsequently signed off on by HBE's architect, that that was all that was necessary then for UPMC to pay HBE?
 - A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And the payments from UPMC to HBE, were they monthly?
- 21 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And they were on a percent complete, as you mentioned, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. All right. How big was the contract

JAMES KEE

_ _ _ _

between HBE and UPMC for the hospital project?

- A. It rounded -- it ended up approximately \$54 million for both jobs.
- Q. So, is it a fair characterization to say that this is a very large contract for HBE?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Have you ever worked on one bigger?
 - A. Me personally?
 - Q. While you've been at HBE.
- 10 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Do you know what the contractual arrangement is between UPMC and HBE with respect to retainage under either the contract with the hospital or the behavioral health facility?
- A. My understanding, and I'd have to review the contract to verify that, but I believe they were holding ten percent retainage and, I believe, up to a point of 50 percent completion, and then on a sliding scale, we'd hold no more retainage until we reached five percent. I believe that is the arrangement.
- Q. And what would happen when you reached five percent?
- A. That would be at the end of the job. And then the owner would reduce retainage based on

43 JAMES KEE 1 was --2 Α. Generated. 3 Q. -- generated? 4 Α. No. 5 Do you know in November of 2004, if, in Ο. 6 fact, UPMC was actually holding \$250,000 in 7 retainage? 8 Α. Only what I see based on this document. 9 Q. Okay. Do you happen to know now, here in 10 September of '05, how much money UPMC is holding in retainage, if any? 11 12 Α. Yes. (Reviewing document.) 13 \$159,000. 14 Ο. 159,000 even? 15 Α. Correct. That's on the main hospital. 16 Ο. The hospital? 17 Α. Yeah. 18 Q. How do you know that? Where did you get that figure? 19 20 Α. Looking at a detail accounts receivable detail. 21 22 Ο. And do you know if that document was produced to Burchick in this litigation? 23 24 I can answer that it was MR. GLASS:

It was just generated yesterday.

25

not.

57 JAMES KEE them that required final payment. 1 So, notwithstanding the fact that HBE 2 0. hasn't received final payment from UPMC, HBE has 3 paid certain subs everything? 4 5 At our discretion, yes. 6 Q. I'm going to show you another document 7 here. 8 MR. FERNSLER: Let's go off the record for a minute. 9 10 (Discussion off the record.) 11 (Recess.) 12 MR. FERNSLER: Sheila, could you mark that. 13 14 (Kee Deposition Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.) 15 BY MR. FERNSLER: 16 17 Q. I'm showing the witness what's been marked as Exhibit 7, application for payment No. 18 18 from HBE to UPMC, dated July 17, 2003. 19 20 Mr. Kee, take a look at this document, 21 let me know when you're done, please. 22 Α. (Reviewing document.) 23 Okay. Yes. 24 On the third page of Exhibit 7, there's Q.

descriptions of work, and we've already talked

JAMES KEE

about these descriptions in an earlier exhibit.

A. Yes.

- Q. And concrete forms, building concrete, concrete finishes and rebar and mesh, items 60, 70, 72 and 75, I think you would agree with me represent Burchick's scope of work.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when payment application 18, covering the period from June 1, 2003 to June 30, 2003, was submitted by HBE, it indicates that Burchick's work is 100 percent complete. Would you agree with that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And under the retainage column, it indicates zero for Burchick's work at that time; is that right?
 - A. That's what that form indicates.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that HBE has been paid 100 percent for Burchick's work on the hospital contract?
- A. Less retainage applied. As you'll notice, just so we clear the record, every one of these shows retainage as a gross number at the bottom, and this is a great example, as we have a number of incomplete items where there's zero

JAMES KEE

70

_ _ _ _

I don't know what -- I mean, he, obviously, had written a note on here about holding relative to reduction of retainage from the owner.

- Q. Well, if HBE had concerns about allegedly deficient work of Burchick at this time, did they give them notice of that?
- A. I don't know. I don't know what notice was given. I know notice was given when subsequent stages of work was to proceed that required that their work was in conformance with the specifications.
 - Q. Do you know when that was?
- A. Well, yes. There are a series of letters that were generated over a period of time requesting Burchick to perform corrective work.
 - Q. And I have some of those. We'll talk about those.
- 18 | A. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

- Q. But I think those are generally -- I think they --
 - A. Late '03.
- Q. Early winter of '04.
 - A. 12/03, I think, is the first one.
- Q. At any rate, a number of months after
 Burchick's payment application in Exhibit 9,

71 JAMES KEE right? 1 2. (Nodding head up and down.) Α. I'm going to show you another document. 3 Q. 4 (Kee Deposition Exhibit No. 11 5 was marked for identification.) 6 BY MR. FERNSLER: 7 Ο. The witness has been handed Exhibit 11, 8 payment application for Burchick to HBE, dated October 23, 2003. It's application 8. And this 9 10 one is on the behavioral health project. 11 I think you'd agree with me that in this 12 payment application, Burchick is asking to be paid 100 percent of the contract balance. 13 14 you agree? 15 Α. Appears so, yes. 16 Ο. And this was approved by HBE on November 3, 2003, correct, signed by Jon 17 18 Alderman? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Ο. Is that your superintendent? 21 Actually had a note here of holding Α. 22 retention. 23 But he agrees -- well, I guess I'm trying Q. to understand what his signature represents. 24

Does it represent that all the work is done and